

2. Method of Payment of fee:


- ☐ Check in the amount of \$_____ is enclosed to cover the above fee(s).
- ☐ Charge Bingham McCutchen's Deposit Account No. **50-2518** in the amount of _____.
- ☒ The Commissioner is authorized to charge Bingham McCutchen's Deposit Account No. **50-2518** for any fees required under 37 CFR §§ 1.16, 1.17 and 1.445 that are not covered, in whole or in part and to credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account No. **50-2518**.

Respectfully submitted,

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP

Dated: July 29, 2004

By: _____


Scott S. Kokka
Reg. No. 51,893

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
Three Embarcadero, Suite 1800
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
(650) 849-4400

23639

23639

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE



Patent
2024730-7012552001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Stephen Christopher Porter

Serial No.: 10/029,568

Filed: December 20, 2001

For: DETACHABLE DEVICE WITH
ELECTRICALLY RESPONSIVE ELEMENT

)
) **Group Art Unit: 3731**

)
) **Confirmation No.: 4944**

)
) **Examiner: Vy Q. Bui**

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. §1.8)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as "First Class Mail" to addressee in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

July 29, 2004
Date of deposit

Carolyn Tobias
Carolyn Tobias

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT (37 CFR 1.121)

M/S Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Notice mailed on July 21, 2004, the section entitled "Claim Listing," including the text of withdrawn claims (from the previous Response to Election/Restriction Requirement mailed July 1, not July 7, 2004 as erroneously indicated in the Notice) is provided. Please note that no changes were made to the claims and thus a claim listing was not required when responding to the Restriction Requirement mailed June 23, 2004, under 37 CFR 1.121 or MPEP §714. Although the Notice is assumed to have been sent in error, the following section is fully responsive to the Notice and in accordance with Revised Amendment Practice under 37 CFR §1.121.